Dynamics on Fractals

Michael F. Barnsley (MSI-ANU)

Lecture for Symmetry in Newcastle

1 November 2019

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

Preliminary Remarks

Thank you

<□> <@> < E> < E> E のQ@

Preliminary Remarks

Thank you

Joint work with Louisa Barnsley, Andrew Vince

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

■ (1) IFS, attractor A ,points $\pi(\sigma)$ and addresses $\sigma \in \Sigma$, golden b

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

■ (1) IFS, attractor A ,points $\pi(\sigma)$ and addresses $\sigma \in \Sigma$, golden b

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• (2) T, tilings $\Pi(\theta)$, and addresses $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$

■ (1) IFS, attractor A ,points $\pi(\sigma)$ and addresses $\sigma \in \Sigma$, golden b

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

- (2) \mathbb{T} , tilings $\Pi(\theta)$, and addresses $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$
- $\bullet (3) \ \pi \times \Pi : \Sigma \times \Sigma^{\dagger} \to A \times \mathbb{T}$

- (1) IFS, attractor A ,points $\pi(\sigma)$ and addresses $\sigma \in \Sigma$, golden b
- (2) T, tilings $\Pi(\theta)$, and addresses $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$
- (3) $\pi \times \Pi : \Sigma \times \Sigma^{\dagger} \to A \times \mathbb{T}$
- (4) rigidity, recognizability, deflation α and inflation α^{-1}

- (1) IFS, attractor A ,points $\pi(\sigma)$ and addresses $\sigma \in \Sigma$, golden b
- (2) \mathbb{T} , tilings $\Pi(\theta)$, and addresses $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$
- (3) $\pi \times \Pi : \Sigma \times \Sigma^{\dagger} \to A \times \mathbb{T}$
- (4) rigidity, recognizability, deflation α and inflation α^{-1}

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

 (5) subshifts of finite type, graph IFS, and Markov measures

- (1) IFS, attractor A ,points $\pi(\sigma)$ and addresses $\sigma \in \Sigma$, golden b
- (2) \mathbb{T} , tilings $\Pi(\theta)$, and addresses $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$
- (3) $\pi \times \Pi : \Sigma \times \Sigma^{\dagger} \to A \times \mathbb{T}$
- (4) rigidity, recognizability, deflation α and inflation α^{-1}

- (5) subshifts of finite type, graph IFS, and Markov measures
- (6) three examples, pedal triangle, golden bsquare, fish-horn

- (1) IFS, attractor A ,points $\pi(\sigma)$ and addresses $\sigma \in \Sigma$, golden b
- (2) \mathbb{T} , tilings $\Pi(\theta)$, and addresses $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$
- $(3) \ \pi \times \Pi : \Sigma \times \Sigma^{\dagger} \to A \times \mathbb{T}$
- (4) rigidity, recognizability, deflation α and inflation α^{-1}
- (5) subshifts of finite type, graph IFS, and Markov measures
- (6) three examples, pedal triangle, golden bsquare, fish-horn
- (7) key theorem and action of the group of isometries

- (1) IFS, attractor A ,points $\pi(\sigma)$ and addresses $\sigma \in \Sigma$, golden b
- (2) \mathbb{T} , tilings $\Pi(\theta)$, and addresses $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$
- $(3) \ \pi \times \Pi : \Sigma \times \Sigma^{\dagger} \to A \times \mathbb{T}$
- (4) rigidity, recognizability, deflation α and inflation α^{-1}
- (5) subshifts of finite type, graph IFS, and Markov measures
- (6) three examples, pedal triangle, golden bsquare, fish-horn
- (7) key theorem and action of the group of isometries
- (8) shift invariant measures and their relation to disjunctive points

- (1) IFS, attractor A ,points $\pi(\sigma)$ and addresses $\sigma \in \Sigma$, golden b
- (2) \mathbb{T} , tilings $\Pi(\theta)$, and addresses $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$
- (3) $\pi \times \Pi : \Sigma \times \Sigma^{\dagger} \to A \times \mathbb{T}$
- (4) rigidity, recognizability, deflation α and inflation α^{-1}
- (5) subshifts of finite type, graph IFS, and Markov measures
- (6) three examples, pedal triangle, golden bsquare, fish-horn
- (7) key theorem and action of the group of isometries
- (8) shift invariant measures and their relation to disjunctive points
- (9) the big picture

example of a fractal tiling. see also abstract, monthly pape



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

ъ

(1)

■ IFS of similitudes

(1)

- IFS of similitudes
- attractors and addresses: definition of $\pi: \Sigma \to 2^A$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ★□▶ = 三 のへで

(1)

- IFS of similitudes
- attractors and addresses: definition of $\pi: \Sigma \to 2^A$
- OSC components of attractors are non-overlapping

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

(1)

- IFS of similitudes
- attractors and addresses: definition of $\pi: \Sigma \to 2^A$
- OSC components of attractors are non-overlapping

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

algebraic condition on scaling factors



 $\pi: \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty} \cup \sum_* \, {\rightarrow} \, A$





















Sphinx example



 $\pi: \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty} \cup \sum_* {\rightarrow} A$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

 $\Pi(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \Sigma$

given $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$, how to construct $\Pi(\theta)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ★□▶ = 三 のへで

 $\Pi(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \Sigma$

- given $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$, how to construct $\Pi(\theta)$
- $\blacksquare \Pi(\theta|k) = f_{-\theta|k} \left\{ \pi(\sigma) : \xi^{-}(\sigma) \leq \xi(\theta) < \xi(\sigma) \right\}$

 $\Pi(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \Sigma$

- given $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$, how to construct $\Pi(\theta)$
- $\blacksquare \ \Pi(\theta|k) = f_{-\theta|k} \left\{ \pi(\sigma) : \xi^{-}\left(\sigma\right) \leq \xi\left(\theta\right) < \xi\left(\sigma\right) \right\}$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

• Theorem: $\Pi(\theta|0) \subset \Pi(\theta|1) \subset \Pi(\theta|2)...$

 $\Pi(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \Sigma$

- given $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$, how to construct $\Pi(\theta)$
- $\blacksquare \ \Pi(\theta|k) = f_{-\theta|k} \left\{ \pi(\sigma) : \xi^{-}\left(\sigma\right) \leq \xi\left(\theta\right) < \xi\left(\sigma\right) \right\}$

- Theorem: $\Pi(\theta|0) \subset \Pi(\theta|1) \subset \Pi(\theta|2)...$
- tiling metric

 $\Pi(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \Sigma$

- given $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$, how to construct $\Pi(\theta)$
- $\blacksquare \ \Pi(\theta|k) = f_{-\theta|k} \left\{ \pi(\sigma) : \xi^{-}\left(\sigma\right) \leq \xi\left(\theta\right) < \xi\left(\sigma\right) \right\}$

- Theorem: $\Pi(\theta|0) \subset \Pi(\theta|1) \subset \Pi(\theta|2)...$
- tiling metric
- illustrate for the golden b

 $\Pi(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \Sigma$

- given $\theta \in \Sigma^{\dagger}$, how to construct $\Pi(\theta)$
- $\quad \ \ \, \Pi(\theta|k) = f_{-\theta|k} \left\{ \pi(\sigma) : \xi^-\left(\sigma\right) \leq \xi\left(\theta\right) < \xi\left(\sigma\right) \right\}$

- Theorem: $\Pi(\theta|0) \subset \Pi(\theta|1) \subset \Pi(\theta|2)...$
- tiling metric
- illustrate for the golden b
- applies to any OSC IFS



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 の々で


▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ★□▶ = 三 のへで

(4) Rigidity, deflation and inflation

• the golden b is rigid



(4) Rigidity, deflation and inflation

the golden b is rigid

deflation and inflation definitions

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

(4) Rigidity, deflation and inflation

- the golden b is rigid
- deflation and inflation definitions
- (3) interplay with the big picture

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

(5) Subshifts and definition of tiling IFS

■ subshifts of finite type, graph IFS, and Markov measures

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

(5) Subshifts and definition of tiling IFS

- subshifts of finite type, graph IFS, and Markov measures
- disjunctive points have measure zero and correspond to boundaries of tiles

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()



■ robinson, golden bsquare, fish-horn, purely fractal













◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ◆ ○ へ ⊙

















(6) golden rectangle with golden b

built using 5 similitudes



(6) golden rectangle with golden b

- built using 5 similitudes
- first describe the tiling IFS and the graph

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ★□▶ = 三 のへで

(6) golden rectangle with golden b

- built using 5 similitudes
- first describe the tiling IFS and the graph
- then illustrate the construction of the canonical tilings and of a tiling

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで











 A_2



 A_2



 A_2



 A_2

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ◆ ○ へ ⊙



 A_2

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ◆ ○ へ ⊙



 A_2



 A_2



 A_2

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで



 A_2

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ◆ ○ へ ⊙



key theorem and action of the group of isometries





key theorem and action of the group of isometriescanonical tilings

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ



key theorem and action of the group of isometries

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- canonical tilings
- beautiful combinatorial formula explains all

the big picture including dynamics

the big picture including dynamics

local isomorphism, self-similar tiling theory vs tiling IFS theory

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ★□▶ = 三 のへで

- the big picture including dynamics
- local isomorphism, self-similar tiling theory vs tiling IFS theory

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ★□▶ = 三 のへで

Anderson and Putnam, Solomyak

- the big picture including dynamics
- local isomorphism, self-similar tiling theory vs tiling IFS theory

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- Anderson and Putnam, Solomyak
- the importance of boundaries
■ IFS tiling theory unifies, simplifies and extends s.s. tiling

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

IFS tiling theory unifies, simplifies and extends s.s. tilingbig picture of shift dynamics

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

IFS tiling theory unifies, simplifies and extends s.s. tiling

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

- big picture of shift dynamics
- key theorem and its significance

IFS tiling theory unifies, simplifies and extends s.s. tiling

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- big picture of shift dynamics
- key theorem and its significance
- symbolic theory \leftrightarrow geometry

IFS tiling theory unifies, simplifies and extends s.s. tiling

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- big picture of shift dynamics
- key theorem and its significance
- symbolic theory \leftrightarrow geometry
- understanding canonical tilings is key