



# Cocycles on Trees and Translation-Like Action on LC-groups

Thibaut Dumont

University of Jyväskylä

20 November 2018

University of Newcastle, Australia



## Part I: Cocycles on trees

Motivations: Cohomology of Groups

Goal: Klingler's volume cocycle

Results for trees

## Part II: Translation-Like Actions on LC-groups

Discrete setting

Locally Compact setting



Ph.D. Thesis and on going work

# Motivations: Cohomology of Groups



Let  $(V, \pi)$  be a linear representation of a group  $G$ :

$$g \mapsto \pi(g) \quad \text{homomorphism into } GL(V)$$

- ▶ The **cohomology groups** are important invariants of  $G$ :

$$H^*(G, V), \quad * = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Cohomology may be computed with the standard **cochain** complex  $C^*(G, V)$  of all maps

$$f : G^n = G \times \cdots \times G \rightarrow V,$$

together with the operator  $d : C^n(G, V) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(G, V)$ :

$$(df)(g_0, \dots, g_n) = \sum_i (-1)^i f(g_0, \dots, \widehat{g}_i, \dots, g_n).$$

Naturally,  $d^2 = 0$ .



We use the **homogeneous** complex:

## Definition (Cocycles and coboundaries)

- ▶  **$n$ -cochain:**  $f : G^{n+1} \rightarrow V$  with

$$f(gg_0, \dots, gg_n) = \pi(g)f(g_0, \dots, g_n) \quad (\text{homogeneity}).$$

- ▶  **$n$ -cocycle** is an  $n$ -cochain  $f : G^{n+1} \rightarrow V$  satisfying:
  - ▶  $df = 0$ ,
  - ▶  $f(gg_0, \dots, gg_n) = \pi(g)f(g_0, \dots, g_n)$ .
- ▶  **$n$ -coboundary** is  $f = dc$  where  $c$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -cochain.

$$H^n(G, V) = \frac{\{n - \text{cocycles}\}}{\{n - \text{coboundaries}\}}.$$

# Motivations: Cohomology of Groups



Let  $(V, \pi)$  be a unitary representation of a locally compact group  $G$ :

$$\langle \pi(g)v, \pi(g)v' \rangle = \langle v, v' \rangle.$$

We may ask cochains to be **continuous**:

- ▶  $H_c^1(G, V)$  classifies the affine isometric actions of  $G$  on  $V$  with linear part  $\pi$  up to conjugation by a translation.

Or impose a growth condition on the function

$$(g_0, \dots, g_n) \mapsto \|f(g_0, \dots, g_n)\|_V$$

to obtain other cohomology theories:

- ▶ A uniform bound defines **bounded cohomology**  $H_b^*(G, V)$  (Gromov, Burger–Monod).
- ▶ A polynomial bound with respect to distances  $d(g_i, g_j)$  defines **polynomially bounded cohomology**  $PH^*(G, V)$  for  $G$  compactly generated. (Connes–Moscovici, Ogle).



Bounded cohomology:

- ▶ (Johnson) **Amenability** of  $G$  is characterized by vanishing of  $H_b^*(G, V)$  for a suitable family of coefficients  $V$ .
- ▶ (Gersten, Mineyev) **Gromov hyperbolicity** of  $G$  is characterized by  $H_b^2(G, V) \rightarrow H^2(G, V)$  being injective for a suitable family of coefficients  $V$ .
- ▶ (Brooks)  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} H_b^2(\mathbf{F}_2, V) = \infty$ .
- ▶ Generally hard to compute. (No example of a countable group where  $H_b^*(G, \mathbf{R})$  is known and non trivial.)

Polynomially bounded cohomology:

- ▶ (Connes–Moscovici) Novikov conjecture for hyperbolic groups.
- ▶ Ogle–Ji–Ramsey extended notion of  **$\mathcal{B}$ -bounded cohomology**.
- ▶ Hard to compute and few examples.



Let  $G$  be an almost-simple  $p$ -adic algebraic group, say  $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , and  $V = \mathbf{St}$  its **Steinberg representation**.

- ▶  $V = \mathbf{St}$  is irreducible, unitary, and  $H^n(G, \mathbf{St}) \neq 0$ .
- ▶ In fact, Casselman showed  $H^n(G, \mathbf{St}) = \mathbf{C}$ .
- ▶ In 2003, Klingler built a natural **volume cocycle**  $\mathrm{vol}_G$  whose class generates  $H^n(G, \mathbf{St}) = \mathbf{C}$ .

**Goal:** Is **Klingler volume cocycle**  $\mathrm{vol}_G$  polynomially bounded?

**Problem (Monod, 2006)**

‘Quasify Klingler volume cocycle in order to obtain new cohomology classes with polynomial bounds in an appropriate coefficient module.’





**Question:** Is  $\text{vol}_G$  polynomially bounded with respect to a suitable distance on  $G$ ?

- ▶  $\text{vol}_G$  is constructed geometrically in the Euclidean building  $X$  associated to  $G$ , called the **Bruhat-Tits** building of  $G$ . In fact  $\text{vol}_G$  is derived from a volume cocycle  $\text{vol}_X$  defined on  $X$ .
- ▶ When  $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , the building  $X$  is the  $(p+1)$ -regular tree  $T_{p+1}$  and  $\text{vol}_X = B$  is the **Busemann cocycle**:

$$B(x, y)(\xi) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} d(y, z) - d(x, z)$$

The **volume cocycle** of  $G$  is then

$$\text{vol}_G(g_0, g_1) = B(g_0x, g_1x)$$

for some origin  $x \in X$ .



## General strategy:

1.  $\text{vol}_X : X \times \cdots \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{St}$  exists for (many) Euclidean buildings  $X$ .
2.  $\text{vol}_G$  is obtained from  $\text{vol}_X$  by translating the arguments of  $\text{vol}_X$ .
3.  $G$  is very close to  $X$  from a metric point of view.

**“We may forget about  $G$  and work with  $X$ .”**

The geometry of the Euclidean buildings  $X$  is rich and hopefully sufficient to compute the norm of  $\text{vol}_X$ .

**Blackboard:** The space  $V = \mathbf{St}$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{St}}$  are particularly delicate to compute in general: it uses a **Poisson type transform** introduced by [Klingler 2004]. But the geometric nature of  $\text{vol}_X$  gives the intuition of a polynomial bound.



Let  $X = T_{q+1}$  be a  $(q+1)$ -regular thick tree with the graph metric  $d$  and visual boundary  $\partial X$ . Let  $B : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{St}$  denote the Busemann cocycle.

## Theorem (D. 2016)

*There are constants  $L, K > 0$  satisfying:*

$$4d(x_0, x_1) \leq \|B(x_0, x_1)\|_{\mathbf{St}}^2 \leq L \cdot d(x_0, x_1) + K$$

Independently and in a more general setting:

## Theorem (Gournay–Jolissaint, 2015)

*There are constants  $A, B > 0$  satisfying:*

$$\|B(x_0, x_1)\|_{\mathbf{St}}^2 = A \cdot d(x_0, x_1) + B \cdot (q^{-d(x_0, x_1)} - 1),$$

The method of Gournay-Jolissaint uses a discrete Laplacian and harmonic analysis on regular trees.



Let  $X = T_{q_0+1, q_1+1}$  be a semi-homogeneous tree and  $B : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{St}$  the Busemann cocycle.

**Theorem (Gournay–Jolissaint, D. 2018)**

*There are constants  $L, K > 0$  satisfying:*

$$\|B(x_0, x_1)\|_{\mathbf{St}}^2 \leq L \cdot d(x_0, x_1) + K$$

This gives a higher rank result for product of semi-homogeneous trees.








**Corollary (D. 2018)**

*Let  $X$  be a direct product of  $n \geq 2$  semi-homogeneous trees and let  $\text{vol}_X$  denote the volume cocycle of Klingler. There is a polynomial  $P$  of degree  $n$  satisfying:*

$$\|\text{vol}_X(x_0, \dots, x_n)\|_{\mathbf{St}}^2 \leq P(d(x_i, x_j)),$$

*for all  $x_0, \dots, x_n \in X$ .*



-  A. Borel, *Admissible Representations of a Semi-Simple Group over a Local Field with Vector Fixed under an Iwahori Subgroup*, *Inven. math.* 35 (1976).
-  T. Dumont, *Cocycle growth for the Steinberg representation*, Ph.D. Thesis, Lausanne, 2016.
-  T. Dumont, *Norm growth for the Busemann cocycle*, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, (to appear) 2018.
-  T. Dumont, *Volume growth of product of semi-homogeneous trees*, (in progress) 2018.
-  B. Klingler, *Volumes des représentations sur un corps local*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 13 (2003), no. 5.
-  B. Klingler, *Transformation de Poisson relative aux groupes d'Iwahori*, *Alg. groups and arithmetic*, *Tata Inst. Fund. Res.*, Mumbai, (2004).
-  A. Gournay, P.-N. Jolissaint, *Conditionally negative type functions on groups acting on regular trees*, *J. of the Lond. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 93, Issue 3, 2016.



Joint work in progress with Thibault Pillon, KU Leuven.



## Problem (Burnside)

*Does an infinite group necessarily contain  $\mathbf{Z}$  as a subgroup?*

No. [Golod-Shafarevich 1964]

## Problem (vN-Day)

*Does a non-amenable group necessarily contain  $F_2$  as a subgroup?*

No. [O'shanskii 1980] Tarski monsters: infinite torsion 2-generated non-amenable.

However, there are relaxed solutions using **translation-like actions**:

- ▶ Geometric vN-Day: [Whyte 1999]
- ▶ Geometric Burnside: [Seward 2014]



## Definition (Wobbling or Piecewise Translation)

A self bijection  $\varphi$  of a group  $G$  is **piecewise translation** or **wobbling** if there is a finite subset  $T$  such that  $\varphi(x) \in xT$  for all  $x \in G$ .

The group of wobbling bijections is denoted  $\mathscr{W}(G)$ .

## Definition (TL-action)

A **translation-like action** of a group  $\Gamma$  (e.g.  $\mathbf{Z}$  or  $F_2$ ) on a group  $G$  is a homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathscr{W}(G)$  such that  $\Gamma$  acts freely on  $G$ :

$$\forall w \in \Gamma, \quad (\varphi_w(x) = x \implies w = e).$$

(No fixed point for any  $w \neq e$ .)





Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group with a left-invariant word metric  $d$  given by a Cayley graph  $X$ .

- ▶ Each left translation is an isometry for  $(X, d) = (G, d)$ .
- ▶ Each right translation is at bounded distance from the identity:

$$d(x, xg) = \ell(x^{-1}xg) = \ell(g), \quad \text{uniformly bounded in } x.$$

$\mathcal{W}(G)$  is exactly the group of bijection at bounded distance from the identity.

## Theorem (Whyte, 1999)

*A finitely generated group is non-amenable if and only if it admits a translation-like action of the free group  $F_2$ .*

## Theorem (Seward, 2014)

*A finitely generated group is infinite if and only if it admits a translation-like action of  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*



The next definition is due to F.M. Schneider [2017].

## Definition (Clopen Piecewise Translation)

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. A self bijection  $\varphi$  of  $G$  is **clopen piecewise translation** if there exists a finite subset  $T$  such that:

- ▶  $\varphi(x) \in xT$  for all  $x \in G$ ,
- ▶  $P_t = \{x \mid \varphi(x) = xt\}$  is clopen for all  $t \in T$ .

The group of clopen piecewise translation bijections is denoted  $\mathcal{C}(G)$ .

## Definition (Clopen TL-action)

A **clopen translation-like action** of a group  $\Gamma$  (e.g.  $\mathbf{Z}$  or  $F_2$ ) on a group  $G$  is a homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G)$  such that  $\Gamma$  acts freely on  $G$  with a **measurable** (strict) fundamental domain.



Morally:

- ▶ Translation-like actions generalize the existence of certain subgroups: e.g.  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $F_2$ , etc.
- ▶ Clopen translation-like actions generalizes the existence of certain **discrete subgroups**.

In a **connected** LC-group, a clopen piecewise translation is just a right translation.



## Theorem (Schneider, 2017)

*A locally compact group is (topologically) non-amenable if and only if it admits a clopen translation-like action of  $F_2$ .*

## Theorem (D.-Pillon, 2018)

*A compactly generated, locally compact group is non-compact if and only if it admits a clopen translation-like action of  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

**Both rely on the connected case:**

## Theorem (Rickert, 1967)

*$A(n \text{ almost})$ -connected LC-group is (topologically) non-amenable if and only if it has a discrete subgroup isomorphic to  $F_2$ .*

## Theorem (?)

*$A(n \text{ almost})$ -connected CGLC-group is non-compact if and only if it has a discrete subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

[Gaillard/Karai [mathoverflow](#)]



Let  $G$  be a CGLC-group. Since any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  preserves a right Haar measure  $\mu$ :

$$\mathbf{Z} \curvearrowright G \text{ clopen TL-action} \implies \mu(G) = \infty \iff G \text{ non-compact.}$$

The converse is the interesting part. Suppose  $G$  non-compact. If we get a discrete  $\mathbf{Z}$ , we may reduce the structure of  $G$ .

- ▶ We may assume  $G$  is unimodular, otherwise it has a discrete  $\mathbf{Z}$ .
- ▶ The connected case implies  $G$  has a discrete  $\mathbf{Z}$  or a compact open subgroup. Thus assume  $G$  has a Cayley-Abels graph  $X$ .
- ▶ If  $X$  has finitely many ends (1 or 2), Seward's theorem implies that  $\mathbf{Z} \curvearrowright X$  translation-like. We can lift the action thanks to unimodularity.
- ▶ If  $X$  has infinitely many ends, by Stallings's Theorem for LC-group [Abels, Cornulier],  $G$  has a discrete  $F_2$ , hence a discrete  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

# An Obstruction: Local Ellipticity



Given a clopen piecewise translation  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  on a LC-group  $G$ .

- ▶ The orbits of  $\varphi$  are contained in the left cosets of a finitely generated subgroup  $\langle T \rangle$ .

## Definition (Platonov 1966)

An LC-group  $G$  is **locally elliptic** if every compact subset is contained in a compact subgroup of  $G$ .

For  $G$  discrete, we say **locally finite**: every finitely generated subgroup is finite. There exist infinite locally finite groups (Hall's universal group).








- ▶ For CGLC-group, locally ellipticity  $\iff$  compact.
- ▶ For  $\sigma$ -compact LC-group,  $G$  locally elliptic  $\iff \text{asdim}(G, d) = 0$ , for some adapted pseudo-metric, [Cornulier–de la Harpe].
- ▶ As Schneider observes, for a (discrete) group  $G$ :  
 $\exists \mathbf{Z} \curvearrowright G \text{ TL} \iff G \text{ not locally finite} \iff \text{asdim}(G) > 0$ .
- ▶ For general LC-groups, we don't know at the moment.



We are left with the questions:

- ▶ Are there LC-groups that admits no clopen translation-like actions of  $\mathbf{Z}$ ? (Necessarily non-discrete.)
- ▶ Locally elliptic?
- ▶ TDLC?



-  Y. Cornulier, *On the quasi-isometric classification of locally compact groups*, in *New directions in locally compact groups*, (P.E.-Caprace, N. Monod, Eds.), Lond. Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 447, 2018.
-  Y. Cornulier, P. de la Harpe *Metric geometry of locally compact groups*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 25, EMS, Sept. 2016.
-  T. Dumont, T. Pillon, *The Burnside problem for locally compact groups*, (in progress).
-  N. W. Rickert, *Amenable groups and groups with the fixed point property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 127, (1967), pp. 221-232.
-  B. Seward, *Burnside's problem, spanning trees and tilings*, Geometry and Topology, no. 18, 2014.
-  M. F. Schneider, *About the Von Neumann's problem for locally compact groups*, arXiv:1702.07955v1 (preprint, 2017).
-  K. Whyte, *Amenability, bilipschitz equivalence, and the Von Neumann conjecture*, Duke Mathematical Journal, 1999.





Thank you!